Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 42

	
Adopted in Senate	September 7, 2005
	Secretary of the Senate
Adopted in Assem	bly August 31, 2005
	Chief Clerk of the Assembly
This resolution	was received by the Secretary of State this
day of _	, 2005, at
o'clockм.	
o clockwi.	
	Deputy Secretary of State

 $SCR 42 \qquad \qquad -2-$

RESOLUTION CHAPTER _____

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 42—Relative to the California Law Revision Commission.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SCR 42, Campbell. California Law Revision Commission: studies.

Under existing law, the California Law Revision Commission is required to study, and is limited to studying, those topics approved for its study by concurrent resolution of the Legislature.

This measure would require the commission, in consultation with the Senate and Assembly Judiciary Committees, to conduct a comprehensive study and prepare a report concerning the advantages and disadvantages of the provisions of the Probate Code relating to no contest clauses. The bill would also require the commission to review the various approaches in this area of law taken by other states and proposed in the Uniform Probate Code and present an evaluation to the Legislature.

WHEREAS, The California Law Revision Commission is authorized to study topics approved for study by concurrent resolution of the Legislature; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate of the State of California, the Assembly thereof concurring, That the California Law Revision Commission shall, in consultation with the Senate and Assembly Judiciary Committees, do the following:

- (1) Conduct a comprehensive study, and prepare a report, concerning the apparent advantages and disadvantages of the state's no contest clause provisions, set forth in Part 3 (commencing with Section 21300) of Division 11 of the Probate Code.
- (2) Review the various approaches in this area of the law taken by other states and proposed in the Uniform Probate Code, and present to the Legislature an evaluation of the broad range of options, including possible modification or repeal of existing statutes, attorney fee shifting, and other reform proposals, as well as the potential benefits of maintaining current law.

Attest:	
	Secretary of State